

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

**TEXAS TERMINALS, LIMITED
PARTNERSHIP**

V.

**THORCO SHIPPING AMERICA, INC.
& THORCO SHIPPING A/S**

C.A. NO. 4:17-cv-01415

ORIGINAL ANSWER OF THORCO SHIPPING AMERICA, INC.

Defendant, Thorco Shipping America, Inc., makes this limited appearance and voluntarily submits to this Court's jurisdiction solely for the purposes of this litigation and the convenience of the parties, and only with regard to Plaintiff, Texas Terminals, Limited Partnership's, claims asserted against it in Plaintiff's First Amended Original Petition (the "Petition") filed in Harris County state court. Defendant files this Original Answer and would show the Court as follows:

FIRST DEFENSE

The allegations contained in Plaintiff's Petition fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted against Defendant pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

SECOND DEFENSE

Subject to and without waiving any of the foregoing, Defendant answers the allegations using the same numbering as used in the Petition and would show as follows:

The first unnumbered paragraph requires no response.

1. This paragraph contains legal assertions and therefore requires no response. To the extent a response is required, denied.

2. This paragraph contains legal assertions and therefore requires no response. To the extent a response is required, denied.

3. Defendant is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegation contained herein. To the extent a response is required, denied.

4. Admitted.

5. Admitted.

6. Denied that Defendant is a proper party to this matter, therefore, denied.

7. Admitted that Plaintiff is a terminal operator and stevedoring company operating along the Houston Ship Channel. Defendant is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained herein. To the extent a further response is required, denied.

8. Admitted.

9. Admitted that on or about January 5, 2015, Defendant, acting as agent for Thorco Shipping A/S (“Thorco Shipping”), executed a “Stevedore / Marine Terminal Freight handling proposal for Texas Terminals, LP – Houston, Texas.” The remainder of the allegations contained herein are denied.

10. Admitted that, at all material times relevant hereto, Defendant acted as the agent for Thorco Shipping. The remainder of the allegations contained

herein are denied.

11. Admitted that, at all material times relevant hereto, Defendant acted as the agent for Thorco Shipping. The remainder of the allegations contained herein are denied.

12. Denied.

13. Admitted that, at all material times relevant hereto, Defendant acted as the agent for Thorco Shipping. The remainder of the allegations contained herein are denied.

14. Denied.

15. Denied.

16. This paragraph does not require a response from Defendant.

Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief for which it prays.

THIRD DEFENSE

Defendant executed the proposal as an agent acting for a disclosed principal, Thorco Shipping, and is not liable on any agreement, if any, with Plaintiff and is an improperly joined party. Accordingly, because Defendant is improperly joined, Thorco Shipping and Plaintiff are diverse, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, this matter is properly removable.

FOURTH DEFENSE

Defendant denies any contract or agreement existed with Plaintiff. Instead, Defendant, acting as agent for Thorco Shipping, merely acknowledged a pricing

proposal provided by Plaintiff.

FIFTH DEFENSE

Defendant is not liable to Plaintiff because the alleged agreement is indefinite and not reasonably specific because it does not define or explain the meaning or terms of the allegedly “exclusive partnership.” The alleged agreement does not expressly restrict Thorco Shipping’s vessels from calling at other terminals within the Port of Houston, nor does it expressly obligate Thorco Shipping to exclusively use Texas Terminals’ facilities.

SIXTH DEFENSE

Defendant is not liable to Plaintiff because the alleged agreement is ambiguous with respect to the concept of an “exclusive partnership” and its implication on the proposal or the rights and obligations of the parties, if any. Parol evidence, admissible to show the parties’ intentions, demonstrates that the proposal did not require exclusive use of Texas Terminals’ facilities.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

Defendant is not liable to Plaintiff because the original agreement, if any, was modified and Defendant complied with the terms of the modification.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

Defendant is not liable to Plaintiff because Plaintiff ratified Defendant’s acts.

NINTH DEFENSE

Defendant is not liable to Plaintiff because Plaintiff waived Defendant’s alleged breach.

TENTH DEFENSE

Defendant is not liable to Plaintiff because the alleged agreement, if any, was terminated and/or rescinded.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

Defendant is not liable to Plaintiff because the alleged agreement fails for lack of consideration.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

Defendant is not liable to Plaintiff for the amount of damages claimed because Defendant is entitled to an offset.

THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

Defendant is not liable to Plaintiff for the amount of damages claimed because Plaintiff did not mitigate its damages, if any.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Thorco Shipping America, Inc., prays that Plaintiff take nothing by its suit against Defendant; that Defendant have judgment in its favor; that Defendant recover its costs, fees, and expenses; and that Defendant be granted all such other and further relief, both general and special, at law and in equity, to which it may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

BY: /s/ Marcus R. Tucker

Marcus R. Tucker

State Bar No. 20282360

Fed ID No. 12769

500 Dallas Street, Suite 1300

Houston, Texas 77002

Telephone: 713-626-1386

Telecopier: 713-626-1388

Email: Marcus.Tucker@Phelps.com

**ATTORNEY-IN-CHARGE FOR
DEFENDANTS, THORCO
SHIPPING AMERICA, INC. &
THORCO SHIPPING A/S**

OF COUNSEL:

PHELPS DUNBAR, LLP

Michael Edward Streich

State Bar No. 24079408

Fed ID No. 1339959

500 Dallas Street, Suite 1300

Houston, Texas 77002

Telephone: 713-626-1386

Telecopier: 713-626-1388

Email: Michael.Streich@Phelps.com

Michael J. Thompson, Jr. *Pro Hac Vice to be Filed*

Louisiana Bar No. 33412

Mississippi Bar No. 103772

Michael.Thompson@Phelps.com

2602 13th Street, Suite 300

Gulfport, Mississippi 38501

Telephone: (228) 679-1130

Telecopier: (228) 679-1131

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 8th day of May 2017, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on the following parties in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:

Thomas O. Deen
Claire E. B. Garza
SCHOUEST, BAMDAS, SOSHEA & BENMAIER, PLLC
1001 McKinney St., Suite 1400
Houston, Texas 77002
Attorneys for Plaintiff

/s/ Michael E. Streich
Of Phelps Dunbar, LLP